

# Feedback from Faculty members on Curriculum-2022-23

## Name of the Faculty

EARAMMA J

## Designation

Assistant Professor of Commerce

## Department

Commerce

1. The Committee/BOS is taking care to ensure the relevance of the Programme offering and updating from time to time.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

2. Objectives and outcomes of the Programme and curriculum are well defined and clear to students and faculty members

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

3. Teaching of the subjects has encouraged the faculty members to conduct research work

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

4. Student centered learning resources are available at college

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

5. Institution academic calendar of events is designed and implemented in adherence to University calendar of events.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

6. The contents of the curriculum have been presented from simple to complex form

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

7. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

8. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

9. Rate the Curriculum in terms of its ability to make use of ICT resources

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

10. POs, PSOs and COs are on par with global standard

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

11. Consideration of faculty members opinion during Curriculum revision

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

12. Any other suggestions

No .....

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Feedback from Faculty members on Curriculum-2022-23

## Name of the Faculty

NAZIYA SULTANA

## Designation

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

## Department

HISTORY

1. The Committee/BOS is taking care to ensure the relevance of the Programme offering and updating from time to time.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

2. Objectives and outcomes of the Programme and curriculum are well defined and clear to students and faculty members

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

3. Teaching of the subjects has encouraged the faculty members to conduct research work

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

4. Student centered learning resources are available at college

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

5. Institution academic calendar of events is designed and implemented in adherence to University calendar of events.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

6. The contents of the curriculum have been presented from simple to complex form

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

7. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor



8. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

9. Rate the Curriculum in terms of its ability to make use of ICT resources

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

10. POs, PSOs and COs are on par with global standard

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

11. Consideration of faculty members opinion during Curriculum revision

5 Very Good

4 Good

3 Average

2 Acceptable

1 Poor

12. Any other suggestions

.....

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Feedback from Faculty members on Curriculum-2022-23

## Name of the Faculty

Sanjaya Pawar

## Designation

Assistant Professor

## Department

Department of Commerce

1. The Committee/BOS is taking care to ensure the relevance of the Programme offering and updating from time to time.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

2. Objectives and outcomes of the Programme and curriculum are well defined and clear to students and faculty members

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

3. Teaching of the subjects has encouraged the faculty members to conduct research work

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

4. Student centered learning resources are available at college

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

5. Institution academic calendar of events is designed and implemented in adherence to University calendar of events.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

6. The contents of the curriculum have been presented from simple to complex form

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

7. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

8. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

9. Rate the Curriculum in terms of its ability to make use of ICT resources

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

10. POs, PSO and COs are on par with global standard

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

11. Consideration of faculty members opinion during Curriculum revision

5 Very Good

4 Good

3 Average

2 Acceptable

1 Poor

12. Any other suggestions

.....

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# Feedback from Faculty members on Curriculum-2022-23

## Name of the Faculty

SANNAVEERANAGOUDA

## Designation

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

## Department

SOCIOLOGY

1. The Committee/BOS is taking care to ensure the relevance of the Programme offering and updating from time to time.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor



2. Objectives and outcomes of the Programme and curriculum are well defined and clear to students and faculty members

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

3. Teaching of the subjects has encouraged the faculty members to conduct research work

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

4. Student centered learning resources are available at college

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

5. Institution academic calendar of events is designed and implemented in adherence to University calendar of events.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

6. The contents of the curriculum have been presented from simple to complex form

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

7. The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

8. The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

9. Rate the Curriculum in terms of its ability to make use of ICT resources

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

10. POs, PSOs and COs are on par with global standard

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

### 11. Consideration of faculty members opinion during Curriculum revision

- 5 Very Good
- 4 Good
- 3 Average
- 2 Acceptable
- 1 Poor

### 12. Any other suggestions

.....

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms